Mild Cognitive Impairment: Deficits in Cognitive Domains Other than Memory
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Abstract
Patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) typically present with memory complaints, but may have mild deficits in other cognitive domains. We compared the neuropsychological profiles of a series of consecutive MCI patients (n = 116) with a control group of healthy elderly subjects (n = 63). The presence of a memory deficit on delayed recall was consistent in the MCI sample, as it was an inclusion criterion in the study. Impairment on immediate recall was present in 62.6% of the patients on paragraph recall of the logical memory test and in 63.1% of the patients on the word paired-associate learning test. Remarkably, patients with MCI frequently had deficits in cognitive domains beyond memory. As much as 68.7% of the patients had deficits in temporal orientation, 30.2% had deficits in semantic fluency, 33.7% in the Token test, 23.4% in calculation, and 23.9% in motor initiative. If detailed neuropsychological testing is performed, the majority of MCI patients will have deficits in cognitive domains other than memory.

Introduction
Cognitive complaints, particularly memory complaints, are common in old people. Some of these old people experience changes severe enough to seek medical help and, indeed, have objective evidence of cognitive impairment, even though they do not fulfill criteria for dementia. A number of clinical concepts have been proposed to describe this situation, namely age-associated memory impairment [1], aging-associated cognitive decline [2], cognitive impairment no dementia [3], and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) [4], among others. Different concepts have distinct diagnostic criteria, the most important differences being the type of cognitive deficits (memory or other cognitive area), reference group, and threshold to define the deficit (standard deviation, SD, of 1 or more from the mean of young adults as in age-associated memory impairment or 1.5 SD from age- and education-adjusted mean as in MCI). Not surprisingly, distinct studies have yielded different numbers for incidence, prevalence and outcome, depending on the criteria applied [4, 5]. Even using very similar criteria, the results are clearly different, whether the sample is drawn from the community or from a memory clinic [6]. The concept of MCI has prevailed in many of the recent studies. Patients with MCI present with memory complaints of unknown etiology and perform below the norms for age and education on the neuropsychological memory tests, but they have a relatively normal general cognitive function,
maintain their activities of daily living and are not demen
ted [4]. MCI appears to represent a transition be-
tween normal aging and early dementia, since in clinical
settings patients with MCI show rates of conversion to
dementia of about 12% per year [7]. There are now guide-
lines recommending that these patients should be identi-
fied and followed [8]. Certainly, since the initial formul-
a tions, the MCI concept emphasizes memory loss. In first
place, a memory complaint is necessary, and if possible
should be corroborated by an informant. In second place, a
deficit in memory functions should be demonstrated by
neuropsychological testing [4]. Although the criteria re-
quire that the general cognitive function is preserved, the
presence of mild deficits in other cognitive domains is not
specifically excluded. In fact, the possibility that patients
with MCI may have multiple cognitive domains affected
was clearly recognized [7]. Beyond memory, impairments
in other cognitive domains such as orientation, attention,
executive functions and visuospatial abilities may be
found in patients with MCI [9, 10]. In addition to the
amnestic form of MCI, the presence of additional do-
 mains of involvement is thus consistent with the formu-
lation of multi-domain amnestic MCI.

In the present study, we evaluated a series of consecu-
tive patients with MCI using detailed neuropsychological
testing in order to determine the type and frequency of
cognitive domains affected.

**Methods**

All patients with MCI attending the Dementia Outpatient Clin-
ic, Hospital Santa Maria, and a Memory Clinic, Lisbon, were eval-
uated. Control subjects were elderly volunteers who attended two
community centers in Lisbon area (where they spend several hours
a week in different leisure activities).

**Mild Cognitive Impairment**

Inclusion criteria [7, 11] were as follows: (1) presence of mem-
ory complaints (preferably corroborated by an informant); (2) im-
paired memory function documented by scores 1.5 SD below the
normal for age and education on delayed recall of the two stories
from the Logical Memory subtest of the Wechsler Memory Scales
[12]; (3) maintained activities of daily living: the patient should
both keep the professional, social and familial activities by clinical
judgment, and have a score < 3 on the first part (items 1–8) of the
Blessed Dementia Scale [13]; (4) preserved general cognitive func-
tion, according to both clinician’s impression and Mini-Mental
State Examination (MMSE) score.

Exclusion criteria were: (1) the presence of dementia, according to
the DSM-IV criteria [14]; (2) clinical history, imaging or labora-
tory tests indicating other neurological or psychiatric disorder; spe-
cifically patients with history of stroke or transient ischemic attack,
brain images showing cortical or cortico-subcortical large vessel
infarcts, brain hemorrhage or extensive age-related white matter
changes [15] (rated ≥3 on the ARWMC scale [16]) and patients
with a diagnosis of major depression according to the DSM-IV cri-
eteria [14]. Subjects with any systemic disease with possible impact
on cognition as well as chronic alcohol or drug abuse were also ex-
cluded.

**Control Group**

Inclusion criteria for the control group were: (1) age ≥50 years;
(2) MMSE score above cut-off; (3) living independently, with main-
tained activities of daily living; (4) absence of neurological or psy-
chiatric condition; (5) no evidence of cognitive deterioration or
cognitive complaints. Subjects with any systemic disease and/or
taking psychoactive medications with possible impact on cognition
as well as chronic alcohol or drug abuse did not qualify as con-

**Procedures**

MCI cases were subjected to clinical history and neurological
examinations, laboratorial evaluation and brain imaging (CT scan
or NMR scan) [17]. The participating institutions used the same
detailed clinical record protocol. Both cases and controls had a de-
tailed neuropsychological assessment that comprised:

(1) Battery of Lisbon for the Assessment of Dementia [18]. The
battery includes tests for the following cognitive domains: attention
cancellation task), semantic fluency (food products), motor and
graphomotor initiatives, object naming, verbal comprehension (a
modified version of the Token test), orientation, verbal and non-
verbal abstraction (interpretation of proverbs and the Raven Pro-
gressive Matrices) [19], visuconstructional abilities (cube and
clock draw), calculation (basic written calculation), short term
memory (digit span), learning and memory (logical memory, verbal
paired-associate learning, visual memory, general information and
word recall).

(2) MMSE. The normative cut-off values adjusted to the educa-
tion for the Portuguese population were used [20]. Subjects had to
score above 22 if they had ≤11 years of education, or above 27 if
they had >11 years of education. These cut-off values adjusted to
the education levels were similar to those found in other studies
(see for instance, Ostrosky-Solis et al. [21] and Uhlmann and Lar-
son [22], for the effect of education on MMSE cut-off scores).

(3) Depression scale from the Consortium to Establish a Regis-
try for Alzheimer’s Disease [23] to evaluate the presence of depres-
sive symptoms. Subjects were classified as having serious depres-
sive symptoms if they scored ≥4 [24].

(4) Blessed Dementia Rating Scale [13]. Changes in everyday
activities and habits were assessed by the first part of the Blessed
Dementia Rating Scale (first 8 items of the scale).

(5) Familial history of cognitive deterioration or dementia was
examined by questioning the MCI patient and the informant about
the existence of relatives with signs of cognitive deterioration
(memory loss or other cognitive impairment, no assistance required
for basic activities of daily living) or dementia (with a clinical di-
agnosis, or with serious cognitive impairment and requiring assis-
tance in basic activities of daily living), starting after 40 years of
age.

For the MCI group and the control group, data from the neuro-
psychological assessment were standardized according to the age
and education norms for the Portuguese population [18]: z scores
were calculated according to the equation \( z = (x - \text{mean})/\text{SD} \).
Impairment on any test was considered if a subject scored more than 1.5 SD below the mean for his age and education. Impairment was further categorized as mild, moderate or severe. Mild impairment was defined as a score between 1.5 and 2.5 SD below the mean, moderate impairment as a score between 2.5 and 3.5 SD below the mean and severe impairment as a score more than 3.5 SD below the mean. The number of subjects (n = 179) would allow to detect a frequency of abnormal scores (below 1.5 SD) of 25% in any neuropsychological test in MCI patients, assuming that controls would have a frequency of 6.7% below 1.5 SD, as expected from the normal distribution, and considering the power 0.9, the significance level 0.05, a ratio MCI:control 2:1, and no correction for multiple comparisons.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill., USA). p Values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Demographic and neuropsychological data from the two groups (MCI and control) were analyzed using Student’s t test for numerical data and $\chi^2$ for categorical data. Bonferroni’s correction for multiple comparisons was used where appropriate. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

### Results

One hundred and six consecutive subjects fulfilling MCI criteria were enrolled from May 1999 to June 2004. Sixty-three control subjects were recruited from February to June 2004. Demographic data and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. All subjects in the MCI and control groups were Caucasian and did not differ in age, education, or sex. MMSE values were significantly lower in the MCI group. The results of neuropsychological evaluation of MCI patients and controls are presented as the means of z scores for each test according to age and education norms for the Portuguese population [18] (fig. 1). The memory tests, namely logical memory, word paired-associate learning and words delayed recall, as well as temporal orientation, followed by verbal comprehension (Token test) and initiative (semantic fluency) were prominently impaired in MCI patients. Remarkably, MCI scores were lower than control group scores on every test (fig. 1). After Bonferroni’s correction for multiple comparisons, performances on memory tests as well as the Token test, semantic fluency, motor initiative, calculation, and graphomotor initiative were still significantly worse in patients with MCI than in controls.

The presence of a memory deficit on delayed recall was consistent in the MCI sample, as it was an inclusion criterion in the study and should be present in every patient. Impairment on immediate recall was present in 62.6% of the patients on paragraph recall of the logical memory test and in 63.1% of the patients on the word paired-associate learning test (fig. 2). Remarkably, patients with MCI frequently had deficits in cognitive domains beyond memory. As much as 68.7% of the patients had deficits in temporal orientation, 30.2% in semantic fluency, 33.7% in the Token test, 23.4% in calculation, and 23.9% in motor initiative. These frequencies were significantly different from the control group ($\chi^2$ test, p < 0.05) after Bonferroni’s correction for multiple comparisons. In fact, less than 10% of controls performed below 1.5 SD of the mean on each cognitive test (fig. 2).

It could be that even though patients had impairments in more than one cognitive area, memory would be the most severely affected domain. To test this hypothesis, patients were separated in three groups (mild, moderate or severe, see Methods) based on the severity of the impairment on the delayed recall of the two Wechsler stories. The number of patients with severe memory impairment and at least one other cognitive domain severely affected was small (n = 2), which hindered the use of the $\chi^2$ test. For this reason, the categories of moderate and

### Table 1. Demographic variables and clinical characteristics of controls and MCI patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (n = 63)</th>
<th>MCI (n = 116)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>67.5 ± 10.9 (50-85)</td>
<td>68.7 ± 7.7 (46-85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, female/male</td>
<td>35/28</td>
<td>77/39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, years</td>
<td>6.9 ± 4.9 (0-17)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 4.6 (0-17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms, years</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.4 (0.5-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial history of cognitive deterioration or dementia, yes/no</td>
<td>not performed</td>
<td>62/54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blessed Dementia Scale</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.9 (0-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE*</td>
<td>28.7 ± 1.6 (21-30)</td>
<td>26.1 ± 2.5 (20-30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are expressed as mean ± SD (range), except where otherwise indicated. Student's test, * p < 0.05.
severe memory impairment were pooled together for analysis. For each group, we determined the number of patients who had impairments in cognitive domains other than memory of at least a comparable degree of severity to the memory deficit (table 2). As much as 52.6% of the patients had at least one cognitive domain as severely affected as memory, and this was particularly true in subjects with mild memory deficit.

Discussion

The main finding of the present study is that the majority of patients with MCI have cognitive deficits that extend beyond the memory domain. Temporal orientation, semantic fluency and language comprehension were frequently impaired in addition to memory, and other domains, graphomotor initiative, calculation, and motor initiative, were also affected. Since these cognitive deficits were not constant in all patients, they might be easily overlooked when the average neuropsychological performances in the group are considered, whereas memory deficits are consistent because they must be present for inclusion.

Although we presented the results in the neuropsychological tests referred to the normative data for the Portuguese population, we found it important to include a control group to check for selection bias in the present study. Interestingly, this control group appeared to behave somewhat better than what would be expected from the normative data. One possible reason is that the old subjects were recruited in centers with leisure occupations, and were possibly more active and fit than the general population. In any case, data from the controls reinforced the main result of the present study, that many MCI patients have deficits in cognitive domains other than memory, which were found in only a minority of controls.

It might be argued that cognitive impairments in areas other than memory would be minor. However, this was not the case since, even not taking into account temporal orientation deficits, in a substantial proportion of patients (52.6%), memory was not the most severely affected domain, that is to say, one cognitive domain other than memory was at least as severely impaired as memory. In-
Fig. 2. Frequency of MCI patients and controls with impairment on neuropsychological tests. Bars represent the percentage of the subjects scoring below the cut-off (1.5 SD) on each of the tests. * p < 0.05, after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons (χ² test).

Table 2. Number of MCI patients with impairments in cognitive domains other than memory, according to the severity of memory impairment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity of memory impairment</th>
<th>Total, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mild</td>
<td>moderate/severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No other cognitive impairment as severe as memory</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment in other cognitive area at least as severe as the memory impairment</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ² = 16.6, p < 0.005.

Interestingly, when subjects were grouped based on the severity of the memory deficit, those with mild memory impairment often had mild deficits in other cognitive domains. This observation is not compatible with the hypothesis that MCI begins as an isolated deficit in memory, that progressively would extend to other cognitive areas as the memory impairment gets more severe. It would rather suggest that multiple mild cognitive deficits might exist at initial phases, and that with progression of the disorder memory would become more severely impaired, as typically observed in Alzheimer’s disease. However, only prospective studies of patients with MCI can clarify this issue.

It could be that the high frequency of deficits we found in cognitive domains beyond memory would be for some reason particular to this study. However, the present sam-
people appears to be similar to other series of MCI patients selected in a clinical setting [25]. The subjects included were typically aged (68.7 ± 7.7 years old), had a low score on the first 8 items of the Blessed Dementia Scale, reflecting quite preserved activities of daily living (1.4 ± 0.9; this value is similar to those obtained in other studies; see, for instance [26]), and had maintained general cognitive function as shown by normal MMSE scores (table 1). Nevertheless, it could be that persons with a relatively low education, as those included in the present study (8.1 ± 4.6 years), could have a particular predisposition to express deficits in cognitive domains beyond memory. Certainly, the clinical experience should be taken into account when diagnosing MCI patients [27].

It is relevant to emphasize what cognitive domains other than memory were found most frequently affected in MCI patients. These were semantic fluency and complex language abilities, as evaluated by the Token test. There is evidence that these domains may be affected early in cognitive decline. Impaired verbal fluency was observed in very mildly impaired subjects who would subsequently progress to Alzheimer’s disease [28]. Language impairments could be detected in a longitudinal study of patients with cognitive impairment early in the course of the decline [29], and the presence of difficulties on a naming test as well as on a visuospatial function test were found in nondemented elderly patients with memory impairment [30]. Finally, patients with MCI performed worse than controls both on a semantic fluency test and on a naming test [10].

In conclusion, MCI subjects exhibit an important memory deficit, but if detailed neuropsychological testing is performed, the majority of patients fulfilling criteria for MCI will have other cognitive domains affected. Because these deficits are not the same in all patients, they may be easily overlooked when the average neuropsychological measures are considered.
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